

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/883,384                                | 06/19/2001         | Frank L. Politano    | 107833              | 1064             |
| 28070 7590 04/05/2007<br>OLIFF & BERRIDGE |                    |                      | EXAMINER ·          |                  |
| P.O. BOX 19928                            |                    |                      | HARBECK, TIMOTHY M  |                  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320                      |                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                    | · .                  | 3692                |                  |
|   |                    |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY                       | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 2 MONTUS                                  |                    | 04/05/2007           | DADED               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | 09/883,384   | POLITANO, FRANK L.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Timothy M. Harbeck   | 3692  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja  | nnuary 2007.   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,9-14,16,17 and 19-24 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4, 6-7, 9-14, 16-17, and 19-24 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).   | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                           |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | . 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:   | te  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/883,384

Art Unit: 3692

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/11/2007 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-14, 16-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowe in view of Fredregill et al (herein after Fredregill; US 5,923,016)

**Re Claim 1**: Rowe discloses a method and apparatus for facilitating monetary and commercial transactions and for providing consumer reward programs comprising

Depositing value as principal corresponding to a consumed item and;

Accruing earned value based on the principal and a rate (Page 1;
paragraphs 0010-0013; '1 Skymile for every eligible dollar spent;
paragraphs 0027-0028; defined transaction categories; Each of the
plurality of transaction categories <u>may each have its own independent</u>
reward program with each reward program defined independent of any
other defined category or reward program.)

Rowe does not explicitly disclose wherein the earned value is solely for future consumption of the consumed item. Fredregrill discloses an in-store points redemption system wherein customers can redeem accumulated points only at the retailer outlet associated with those purchases (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the teaching of Fredregrill to the disclosure of Rowe so that each retailer may have its own independent reward program defined independent of other reward programs.

#### Re Claim 2: Rowe further discloses the steps comprising

- Maintaining an account for a consumer that consumed the consumed item (paragraphs 0023-0027)
- Permitting withdrawal of the earned value for future consumption of the consumed item based on terms of an agreement for the account (paragraphs 0028-0029)

## Re Claim 3: Rowe further discloses the steps comprising

Updating the principal based on one or more deposits of consumed items
 (Paragraph 0148) and;

Application/Control Number: 09/883,384

Art Unit: 3692

 Generating a balance of accrued earned value on a schedule based on the agreement (paragraph 0148)

**Re Claim 4**: Rowe discloses the claimed method supra and further discloses the step wherein the account comprises one or more or a savings consumable account, a certificate of deposit and a mutual consumable fund (Paragraphs 0046-0051).

**Re Claim 6**: Rowe further discloses the steps comprising receiving information from one or more suppliers and updating consumer accounts based on the received information (paragraph 0137)

**Re Claim 7**: Rower discloses the claimed method supra and further discloses the steps wherein the information comprising one or more of:

- One or more new rates to replace rates for one or more consumer accounts
- One or more new consumer accounts
- One or more new maturation dates to replace current maturation dates and;
- One or more principals updates to increment or decrement current principals and;
- One or more earned values to increment or decrement current earned values (paragraphs 0137 and paragraph 0159).

**Re Claim 9**: Rowe discloses the claimed method supra and further discloses the steps comprising on or more of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/883,384

Art Unit: 3692

- Transferring principal from a consumer account to another consumer account
- Withdrawing earned value from a consumer account
- Depositing additional value to a consumer account and;
- Changing parameters of a consumer account (Paragraph 0163-0164)

**Re Claim 10**: Rowe further discloses the steps wherein the principal comprising one or a combination of two or more of:

- Value corresponding to consumed items
- A number of times purchases were made
- A number of times a supplier was visited
- A value for consumed items based on a table of values corresponding to items and;
- A value corresponding to an amount spent during a period of time (paragraphs 0010-0013)

Re Claims 11-14, 16-17 19 and 20: Further system claims would have been obvious to perform previously rejected method claims 14, 6-7, and 9-10 respectively and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale (Also see fig 2A for system layout).

Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Rowe in view of Fredregill et al (herein after Fredregill; US 5,923016) in view of Bloom

(Jennifer Kingson Bloom. "New B or A Card Offers Discounts on Bank Products.

American Banker. New York, N.Y.: Dec 1, 1997. Vol162, Iss. 229: pg 23 (3 page)).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,384 Page 6

Art Unit: 3692

Re Claim 21: Rowe in view of Fredregill discloses the claimed method supra but does note explicitly disclose the step of reducing accrued earned value based on a predetermined condition. Bloom discloses a credit card rewards program that contains tiered levels of rewards, in this case a reduction of interest rates on the card balance, based upon the total expenditures of the card (Page 2, paragraphs 8-11). Essentially if a customer spends more money on their card, then the interest rate on that balance will be reduced. It follows then that if certain preconditions were not met (i.e. level of expenditure) than the earned value will be reduced. It would have been obvious to anyone skilled in the ordinary art at the time of invention to include the teachings of Bloom to the method of Rowe in order to entice people to spend more money on their credit card, thus providing the issuer of the card with higher revenues. A flat reward rate provides no incentive to spend more, however increasing the rewards in lockstep with expenditures might entice the credit card holders to spend more in order to achieve the higher rewards.

Re Claim 22: Rowe in view of Fredregill in view of Bloom discloses the claimed method supra and Bloom further discloses the step further comprising wherein the predetermined condition includes one or more of: if a consumer of an account does not consume a predetermined amount of a consumable item and if a consumable item from another supplier is consumed. Bloom points out that a customer who does not consume a certain amount of purchases will not achieve the highest rewards. In other words, if certain threshold expenditure values are not met, the higher set of rewards is not activated.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,384

Art Unit: 3692

Re Claims 23 and 24: Further system claims would have been obvious from previously rejected method claims 21 and 22 respectively and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/11/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that none of the references teach or suggest that the earned value may only be used to purchase the same consumable item that was used to calculate the value of the principal. The examiner disagrees.

First it is noted that the specification of the present invention referred to as describing the proposed amendments (paragraph 9; see remarks page 8) refers to 'groceries' as a single item and the account yielding interest 'in the form of purchasing power at the <u>same grocery store</u>, for example." There is no delineation between specific purchase or category breakdowns within the grocery store (to specific items), thus it appears from the specification that a 'consumed item' is in fact any item consumed from the same store. It is thus submitted that Fredregrill discloses this limitation as the method and system of Fredregrill is associated with a single retailer (i.e. a grocery store). Rowe discloses the use of specific transaction categories such as dining, travel and general (Table II, page 11). The teaching of Fredregrill provides even more granularity with respect to these categories. Therefore the examiner concludes that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the teaching

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/883,384

Art Unit: 3692

of Fredregrill to the disclosure of Rowe so that each retailer may have its own independent reward program defined independent of other reward programs.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Harbeck whose telephone number is 571-272-8123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER